


# Next Generation Nuclear Plant Project

## Senior Advisory Group Meeting Reference Configuration

Crystal City, VA

October 28, 2008



# NGNP Senior Advisory Group

## October 22, 2008 Meeting Minutes

---

### **Attendees**

#### Senior Advisory Group

Steve Melancon (Entergy) – Meeting Chairman  
Phil Hildebrandt (BEA)  
Arkal Shenoy (General Atomics)  
Finis Southworth (AREVA)  
Ed Wallace (PBMR)

#### AREVA

Lew Lommers  
Joe Stringer

#### Entergy

Curt Bregar

#### General Atomics

Mark Haynes

#### PBMR

Dan Mears

#### URS

Vytas Maciunas

#### INL

Larry Demick  
Richard Garrett  
Greg Gibbs  
Jim Kinsey  
Phil Mills  
Keith Perry

#### DOE

Tom O'Connor

### **Meeting Objective**

Reach agreement on the reference configurations that will be carried forward in the conceptual design work for NGNP.

### **Meeting Agreements**

1. The NGNP Project will pursue two reference configurations for Conceptual Design as recommended by the HTGR Suppliers:

# NGNP Senior Advisory Group

## October 22, 2008 Meeting Minutes

---

- PBMR will pursue an indirect<sup>1</sup> configuration using a pebble bed reactor design and a secondary gas loop to supply heat to the energy conversion processes, (e.g., steam turbine electricity generator, process steam demands). An Intermediate Heat Exchanger (IHX) provides the interface and heat transfer component between the primary and secondary gas loops. (see Figure 1, below)
  - AREVA and General Atomics will pursue an indirect configuration using a prismatic block reactor design with a secondary loop using steam as the heat transport fluid. A steam generator transfers the heat from the primary loop in the form of steam that then supplies the energy conversion processes, (e.g., steam turbine electrical generator, process steam demands), see Figure 2, below.
  - The NGNP Project Conceptual Design work will assume that the reference configurations will be used to supply process steam and electricity in a commercial co-generation application.
2. The group defined the following high level technical and functional requirements that impact HTGR configuration:
- The Nuclear Heat Supply System (NHSS<sup>2</sup>) shall be design certified for a broad range of applications and sites.
  - The NHSS shall be licensed independent of the application. In this regard the licensing boundary and interface requirements shall be defined for the reference configurations, (e.g., transients, feed and gas return chemistry).
  - The NHSS designs shall be applicable, on economic, availability and reliability bases, to a broad range of co-generation applications supplying, singly or in combination, electricity, steam, and hot gas. A 95% availability is required.
  - The reactor gas outlet temperature shall be in the range of 750°C to 800°C
  - The plant shall be capable of completing design, licensing, construction, and startup testing for initial operation by 2021
  - The NHSS shall be capable of controlling the transport of radionuclides to the end products at levels below the concentration or exposure requirements for the product (e.g., tritium in steam, gas, hydrogen) [Initial acceptable tritium levels will be set at a fraction of the EPA limits for drinking water and air]
3. The Group identified the following developmental issues to be addressed early in Conceptual Design:
- Define the required ranges of functional and performance requirements for target applications, (e.g., steam pressure, temperature and flow ranges, electricity supply, hot gas pressure, temperature and energy, hydrogen supply, availability)

---

<sup>1</sup> For the purposes of this discussion an indirect configuration is defined as one in which energy is transferred from the primary helium loop to one or more secondary loops that supply the energy conversion processes, (i.e., in the indirect configuration no energy conversion occurs in the primary loop.

<sup>2</sup> The Nuclear Heat Supply System includes the nuclear island (e.g., the reactor, primary coolant system and supporting systems) and the heat transfer/transport system.

# NGNP Senior Advisory Group

## October 22, 2008 Meeting Minutes

---

- Continue development of the Project Systems Requirements Manual based on commercial end user functional and performance requirements
- Update the capital cost and schedule estimates for completing the design, licensing, construction and commissioning of the reference configurations for the target application
- Update the operating cost estimates for the reference configurations in the target application
- Identify operating conditions and transients of most concern, and the controls provided to maintain the operating conditions within acceptable bounds for the reference configurations in the target application
- Complete design and analysis for control of primary and secondary gas pressures for loss of heat sink transients in the secondary gas loop indirect configuration
- Complete design and analysis for control of temperature, pressure and material corrosion for the full range of potential steam generator tube leaks in the secondary steam loop indirect configuration
- Confirm the stability and control under normal and upset operating conditions for the reference configurations in the target application
- Define the boundaries for licensing each reference configuration; the objective is to license the NHSS within these boundaries independent of the energy conversion process. The uncertainties in this approach need to be clearly identified and addressed.
- The following generic safety issues need to be addressed in addition to the specific issues identified in the preceding:
  - General water and air ingress
  - Tritium management
  - Need for active safety systems
  - Loss of heat sink
- Determine if there are any significant differences in the following areas when comparing the reference configurations:
  - TDRM complexity and requirements
  - TRL of major components and systems
  - Reliability budgeting
  - Maintenance complexity, (e.g., IHX versus SG leakage, changeout)

4. The Senior Advisory Group (SAG) will be convened periodically.

Supplier and BEA Presentations made during the course of this meeting are attached.




Figure 1 – Reference PBMR Design




Figure 2 – Reference Prismatic Block Reactor Design

# NGNP Senior Advisory Group

## October 22, 2008 Meeting Minutes

---

### **Additional Action Items**

1. Determine what constitutes a production scale demonstration for fuel for NRC licensing purposes – INL (Petti)
2. Determine the strategy for certifying a design such that it can occur independent of a wide range of process applications for which a business case can be made. – INL (Kinsey)
3. Determine basis for radiological limits and controls, (e.g., for tritium). Consider domestic and international standards. – INL (GA)
4. Establish the design limit and basis for annual allowable worker exposure by unit and plant. (Contact NEI and INPO) – Entergy (Melancon)
5. Develop a basis document for defined functional and performance requirements. – INL (Garrett)
6. Evaluate TDRM impacts & TRL perturbations based on the two configurations and the performance and functional requirements chosen. – INL (Garrett)
7. Identify the breakdown between forced and planned outages that results in 95% availability – Entergy (Melancon)
8. Implement a process for posting all NGNP related reports on the NGNP Project web site. – INL (Perry)

# NGNP Senior Advisory Group October 22, 2008 Meeting Minutes

---

## **Attachment 1 – PBMR/Westinghouse Presentation**



PBMR - SG in SHTS  
R6.ppt

## **Attachment 2 – General Atomics Presentation**



GA - NGNP Plant  
config.ppt

## **Attachment 3 – AREVA Presentation**



AREVA NGNP  
Recommendation Oct

## **Attachment 4 – BEA Presentation**



BEA - Reference  
Configuration Meeting



# Market Considerations for NGNP Reference Configuration Selection

---

- **Objective: selection of NHSS reference configuration for ROT  $\leq 800\text{C}$  applications including steam/heat delivery, process steam injection and process heat delivery – all with potential for Rankine cycle cogeneration and/or waste heat applications, e.g. water desalination**
- **Steam/heat delivery**
  - HTS is utilized in proximate SG for process steam heat input to user site for one or more closed loop processes, e.g. steam driven compressors
    - Condensate is returned to the NHSS for re-use with little or no quality degradation
- **Process steam injection**
  - HTS is utilized in proximate SG for process steam injection on the user site for one or more open loop processes, e.g., oil sands, coal gasification
    - Condensate is not returned to the NHSS or returned with poor quality
- **Process heat delivery**
  - HTS is utilized on proximate user site as thermal energy input to one or more processes in a closed loop, e.g. ethylene cracker



# Key Considerations for NGENP Reference Configuration Selection – Placement of SG


---

- **For Rankine cycle electricity generation and/or steam/heat delivery applications, simplest and most economical configuration may be SG in PHTS, pending**
  - Water ingress, S/G integrity, related safety issues that must be addressed for licensing
- **For others, considerations favoring IHX in PHTS and SG in SHTS:**
  - More margin and greater certainty that tritium limits are met
  - Water quality concerns and related economics, especially for oil sands and coal gasification
  - Boiler/SG access, inspection and maintenance
  - Less onerous safety analyses, code V&V, and safety R&D without high pressure steam/water ingress with lower risk in today's licensing environment
  - Similar, standardized IHX configuration required for process heat delivery applications, e.g. NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> production
    - Path to such applications achievable w/o another nuclear demo plant
    - Avoids multiple design and licensing cycles for diverse configurations
    - Minimizes time and cost to market
- **Above must be weighed against:**
  - Capital and operating costs (power requirements, maintenance)
  - Reliability impact of IHX, secondary loop components


# PBMR Reference Process Steam / Cogeneration

NHSS Island


Process Area




# High Pressure Process Steam / Cogeneration, e.g. Oil Sands




# Illustrative Higher Temperature Application – Hybrid Sulfur H<sub>2</sub> Production



# Alternative Approach to Higher Temperature Application



# Reference Steam Generator Configuration Considerations



# General Atomics' Recommendations On Plant Configurations

Presented to  
NGNP Senior Advisory Group Meeting


Arkal Shenoy,  
Director, Modular Helium Reactors  
October 28, 2008



# NGNP Fundamental Requirements:

- Applicable to a broad range of co-generation applications
- Reactor gas outlet temperature in the range of 750 °C to 800 °C.
- Capable of completing design, licensing, construction and startup testing for initial operation not later than 2021.
- Capable of controlling the transport of radionuclides to the end products at levels that meet or beat the concentration or exposure requirements for the product, (e.g., tritium in steam, gas, hydrogen).
- Can be collocated with the process; PAG limits met at site boundary of ~400 meters.
- Capable of following process load variations either through dispatch with the grid and/or reactor power load-following
- Costs for anticipated NOAK, based on design certification, construction and operation of FOAK design, supports viable economic business model; e.g., a target production price of \$12/MMBtu, supplied energy equivalent.
- Normal maintenance exposure target limit of no more than 50 person-rem/year.
- Target availability factor of 90%
- Target design lifetime of 60 years

# Number of 500 MWt HTGR Modules at 85% Capacity Required to Meet Demands (2000-2007)




# MHR Process Energy Applications

- PROCESS STEAM APPLICATIONS
  - Heavy Oil Recovery
  - Tar Sands Oil Recovery
  - Coal Liquefaction by SRC process
  - Coal Liquefaction by H-Coal process
  - Coal Gasification ECCG process
  - Process Steam for Steel Mill
  - Process Steam for Aluminum Refining
  - District heating for cold countries
  - Desalination for water starving countries
- PROCESS HEAT APPLICATIONS
  - Methanol Production by Hydro gasification
  - Hydrogen Production by steam reforming of Methane
  - Hydrogen Production by Thermo-chemical Water Splitting

*Market size for process steam is much larger than process heat*

# PS/C plant configuration Developed

**GENERATES STEAM AT 1000°F (540°C) AND 2500 PSI (17 Mpa)**




## PS/C-MHR Typical Plant Parameters


Thermal Power, MW(t)	600
Fuel Columns	102
Fuel Cycle	LEU/Natural U
Average Power Density, W/cm <sup>3</sup>	6.6
Primary Side Pressure, MPa (psia)	7.07 (1025)
Induced Helium Flowrate	281 kg/s
Core Inlet Temperature, °C (°F)	288(550)
Core Outlet Temperature, °C (°F)	704(1300)

## Applications

- Heavy Oil Recovery
- Oil from Tar Sands
- Coal liquefaction
- Coal Gasification
- Industrial process steam



# MHTGR-SC SG Design Configuration Assumed for Study of Alternative HTS Configurations



- **Key SG design characteristics:**
  - Vertical orientation
  - Up-flow boiling
  - Cross-counter flow,
  - Once-through tube-and-shell
  - Multiple tube, helically wound tube bundles
- **SG has two bundles:**
  - Lower economizer, evaporator and initial superheater bundle
  - Upper finishing superheater bundle

# SG in Primary Circuit Previously Demonstrated in HTGR Plants

- Peach Bottom I
- AVR
- Fort St. Vrain
- THTR

**Operating experience and technology from these plants used to develop primary loop SG designs for:**

- **Large HTGR plants (circa 1972)**
- **MHTGR-SC (circa 1992)**
- **NP-MHTGR (circa 1992)**

# Advantages of Steam Generator in Primary Loop

- **Demonstrated Technology**
- **Lower Capital Cost**
  - Reduced Equipment
  - Smaller plant footprint
- **Higher Efficiency**
  - Lower Circulator power
- **Higher Availability**
- **Lower O & M cost including ISI**
- **Lower Core outlet Temperature**

# Risk evaluation factors

- Technical risk / technology development effort
- Manufacturability / constructability
- Transportability
- Flexibility in operation
- Insensitive to internal and external events


## Economic evaluation factors (based on original “Goal Names”)

- Performance (e.g., Heat Rate)
- Reliability, Availability, Maintainability
- In-Service Inspection
- Investment protection
- Modularity, (e.g., construction, maintenance)

# Plant Schematic for Electricity, Process Steam and Process He

## Process Heat

## Process Steam and Electricity



# Overall Conclusion

- Plant economics is an overriding consideration
- Best economics is provided by plant with simplest HTS configuration; SG in primary is simplest configuration
- HTS configuration with SG in primary is recommended for FOAK Module based on economic considerations:
  - To meet requirement for NGNP to be prototypic of commercial co-generation plants
  - No significant control and protection issues identified
  - Moisture ingress safety concern not a discriminator
- **FOAK Module schedule could be shortened**
  - ~ 2 year if SG in primary selected, power reduced to 350 MWt, and reactor outlet temp reduced to ~700°C



A

AREVA

# ***AREVA Recommendation NGNP Configuration***

***Lew Lommers***

**NGNP Reference Configuration Meeting  
October 28, 2008  
Washington, DC**



- > Configuration Considerations**
- > Proposed Configuration for INL Prototype**
- > Adapting to Commercial Markets**
- > Configuration for Commercial Application**

## ***AREVA Focus on Near-Term Commercial Deployment***


- > Schedule**
- > Cost**
  - ◆ Development and FOAK
  - ◆ NOAK
  - ◆ Performance
- > Flexibility**
  - ◆ Ability to serve multiple markets
- > Safety and design margins**
  
- > Technical maturity**
  - ◆ Required R&D
- > Technical risk**
  - ◆ Feasibility, uncertainty

## ***AREVA Evaluating Various HTR Concepts***

- > AREVA internal program**
  - ◆ Indirect CCGT
  - ◆ Conventional steam cycle
  
- > AREVA NGNP Scope**
  - ◆ Indirect CCGT
  - ◆ Indirect steam cycle
  - ◆ Conventional steam cycle
  
- > AREVA recommendation**
  - ◆ Conventional steam cycle



# ANTARES Concept Provides Greatest Flexibility





Market Applications	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Hydrogen-SI</li> <li>- Hydrogen-SMR</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Coal Liquefaction</li> <li>- Coal Gasification</li> <li>- Advanced Electrolytic Hydrogen</li> <li>- Oil Shale</li> <li>- Tar Sands</li> <li>- Biomass</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- District Heating</li> <li>- Desalination</li> </ul>	

## ***But CCGT Probably Not Best Solution for Near-Term Process Heat Applications***

- > Most flexible concept**
  - ◆ Very high temperature heat
  - ◆ Electricity
  - ◆ Steam (high and low temperature)
- > Very high efficiency**
  
- > But not well suited for most near-term applications**
  - ◆ Significant development challenges
    - IHX
    - Gas turbine
    - Secondary side
  - ◆ Long development schedule
  - ◆ High cost
- > Conventional steam cycle can meet most markets **sooner** and at **lower cost****

## ***Both Indirect and Conventional “Direct” Steam Cycle Configurations Evaluated for NGNP***

- > **Indirect cycle separates PCS from primary**
  - ◆ Allows development and retrofitting of PCS in non-contaminated environment
  - ◆ Rankine PCS does not require development
- > **Both cycles have good flexibility**
- > **Both have acceptable safety impact**
- > **Indirect steam still requires substantial development for IHX**
  - ◆ Lower temperature IHX may be less challenging
  - ◆ Lower temperature IHX does not support VHTR market
- > **Conventional steam has lower cost**
  - ◆ Indirect comparable to CCGT
  - ◆ Conventional steam 20% less per module




## ***AREVA Recommends Conventional Steam Cycle for NGNP***


- > **Faster deployment – less development required**
- > **Low technical risk**
  - ◆ **Builds directly on past HTR operating experience**
  - ◆ **Larger Nuclear Heat Source design margins**
- > **Applicable to most near-term markets**
- > **Equivalent performance at lower cost**
- > **Logical first step toward future VHTR applications**

	<b>Direct Steam</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	<b>Indirect Steam</b>
<b>Technical Risk</b>	<b>++</b>		
<b>Safety</b>		<b>x</b>	
<b>Schedule</b>	<b>+</b>		
<b>Capital Cost</b>	<b>++</b>		
<b>Operating Cost</b>	<b>+</b>		
<b>Flexibility</b>		<b>x</b>	
<b>Contamination Control</b>			<b>+</b>

# Recommended NGNP Primary and Secondary Configuration



# *Conventional Steam Cycle NGNP Arrangement*



# ***Conventional Steam Cycle Is Logical First Step in Developing Full HTR Potential***

<b>Required Development</b>	<b>Steam Cycle</b>	<b>Future VHTR</b>
<b>Fuel Qualification</b>	<b>X</b>	
<b>HTR Siting</b>	<b>X</b>	
<b>HTR Licensing</b>	<b>X</b>	
<b>Process Interface Issues</b>	<b>X</b>	
<b>Safety Case Validation</b>	<b>X</b>	
<b>Very High Temperature Materials (metals, ceramics)</b>		<b>X</b>
<b>High temperature fuel</b>		<b>X</b>
<b>IHX development</b>		<b>X</b>
<b>Very high temperature process interface</b>		<b>X</b>


# ***Adapting the Steam Cycle HTR to Commercial Process Heat and Cogeneration Applications***

- > Use extraction and backpressure turbine(s) to optimize generation of electricity and steam**
  - ◆ Current industrial practice
  - ◆ Analyzed for 2240 MWt SC/C HTGR project
- > Reliability for steam supply**
  - ◆ Standby gas-fired boilers
  - ◆ Extra HTR modules generating electricity (markets with very high electricity demand)
- > Steam generator water quality requirements**
  - ◆ No mixing of steam and process streams
  - ◆ Closed steam/condensate system
- > Mixing of steam and process streams**
  - ◆ Main steam may be used for processes without direct contact
  - ◆ Reboiler required for processes with direct contact
- > Contamination control**
  - ◆ Separation provided by process heat exchanger (or reboiler)
  - ◆ Detailed analysis required

# Commercial HTR Arrangement for Conventional Steam Nuclear Heat Source

Reactor Vessel

- > **Simplest HTR heat source**
- > **Delivers steam at 550°C for variety of applications**
  - ◆ **Electricity**
  - ◆ **Process heat**
  - ◆ **Cogeneration**



Possible parameters:


Reactor 600+ MWt

SGs – 2 x 303 MWt

Circulators – 2 x 3.5 MWt

Steam Generators

# Commercial Process Heat Cogeneration Facility



## ***Conclusions***

- > AREVA has evaluated several possible configurations**
- > Highest priority must be on near-term deployment to impact energy market as rapidly as possible**
  - ◆ Low technical risk
  - ◆ Minimize required development
  - ◆ Flexibility for near-term applications
- > Conventional (“direct”) steam cycle best meets this objective**
  - ◆ Serves a variety of markets
  - ◆ Most rapidly deployable
  - ◆ Most competitive economically
- > Conventional steam cycle is low risk first step toward long-term VHTR deployment**



## Reference Configuration Meeting

**Senior Advisory Group  
Hyatt Regency Crystal City  
October 28, 2008**

# Welcome / Introduction



- Welcome
- Introduction & Meeting Goal

# Agenda



	<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Duration (mins)</b>	<b>Speaker</b>
	Welcome, Agree on Meeting Objectives	15	Steve M
	Discuss SAG Meeting, Address Comments	30	Steve M
	HTGR Fundamental Requirements, Discuss Handout	60	Richard G
	Break	15	
	Configuration Presentations by Suppliers in context of requirement / evaluation factor discussion		
	A	30	AREVA
	B	30	GA
	C	30	WEC
	Summarize Configurations, assure they meet fundamental rqmts	60	Richard G
O p t i o n a l	Discuss evaluation factors (risk and economic)	60	Phil H, SAG
	Add, subtract, agree on potentially discriminating factors		
	Evaluate configurations	120	Phil H, SAG
	Differentiate using discriminating criteria		
	Summarize conclusions, decisions, actions	30	Richard G.
	Adjourn		

# Overview of First Senior Advisory Group Meeting



- Summary of first SAG meeting
- Priorities
  - Design Objectives – Conceptual Design
  - Address High Project Risk items
  - Address Licensing needs/issues
  - R&D
- Commercialization Objectives
  - Single configuration applicable to broadest range of co-generation applications
  - Nuclear Heat Supply System that can be licensed independent of application
  - Application variables/combinations: 1) co-generation of electricity & steam, 2) production of hot gas, 3) hydrogen production, 4) generation of electricity, 5) open cycle heat generation, 6) de-salination
  - Address issues such as radiological isolation of heat source, quality of return feed, impacts on Safety Case, cost, RAMI
- Change in Reactor Outlet Temperature
  - 750°C - 800°C

# Opening Comments



- Impact of setting a Reference Configuration on future work
- Goal of workable, vice perfect, solution
- Discussion of Meeting Objectives

# Discussion of Evaluation Factors



- Evaluation factors include fundamental requirements (go/no go) and risk & economic discriminating factors
- HTGR fundamental requirements that impact configuration:
  - Nuclear Heat Supply System that can be design certified for a broad range of applications and sites
  - Applicable to broad range of co-generation applications supplying, singly or in combination, electricity, steam, and hot gas
  - Reactor gas outlet temperature in the range of 750°C to 800°C
  - Capable of completing design, licensing, construction, and startup testing for initial operation by 2021
  - Capable of controlling the transport of radionuclides to the end products at levels below the concentration or exposure requirements for the product (e.g., tritium in steam, gas, hydrogen) [Initial acceptable tritium levels will be set at a fraction of the EPA limits for drinking water and air]

# Discussion of Fundamental Requirements, cont.



- Can be collocated with the process; PAG limits at site boundary of ~ 400 meters
- Capable of following process load variations
- Costs for anticipated NOAK, based on design certification, construction, and operation of FOAK design, supports viable economic business model (competitive with natural gas price at \$8/MMBtu)
- Normal maintenance exposure target limit of no more than 50 person-Rem/year per module in a refueling year
- Target availability factor  $\geq 90\%$
- Target plant design lifetime of 60 years (calendar)

# Reference Conceptual Design Configurations



- AREVA
- General Atomics
- Westinghouse
- Summary

# Evaluation Discriminators - Risk



- Risk evaluation discriminators
  - Technical risk / technology development effort
  - Manufacturability / constructability
  - Transportability
  - Flexibility, operability, sensitivity to internal and external events

# Evaluation Discriminators - Economic



- Economic evaluation discriminators
  - Performance (e.g., in the context of satisfying the business case requirement)
  - Reliability, Availability, Maintainability
  - In-Service Inspection
  - Investment protection
  - Modularity, (e.g., construction, maintenance)

# Evaluation Configurations



- Assure fundamental requirements are met
- Differentiate using discriminating criteria

# Meeting Review /Adjournment




- Review of meeting
- Action Item Assignment / Review
- Meeting Minutes
- Adjourn



# Back-up Slides

# Possible Configurations for Supply of Steam, Electricity and High Temperature Process Heat




Serial HTS Configuration (Configuration I)

**Lower gas temperatures reduce cost and schedule risk for implementation of project**



**The high gas temperatures are not required for steam and electricity production**

**They may not be required for process applications**




Parallel Primary Loop Configuration (Configuration II)

# Possible Configurations for Supply of Steam, Electricity and High Temperature Process Heat




# Use of HTGR to Supply Steam, Electricity & Hot Gas

## Potential Configuration



# A Conceptual Plant Layout

## 4 NHSS / 3 STG



# Pre-Conceptual Design Report Design Requirements



- Documented in INL/EXT-07-12967, Rev. 1, dated November, 2007
- NGNP Design Requirements:
  - Nuclear Island
    - Both pebble-bed and prismatic reactor designs should be considered
    - The nuclear island design should not preclude achieving a gas outlet temperature of 950°C
    - The NGNP nuclear island will not include a direct-cycle PCS

# Pre-Conceptual Design Report Design Requirements, cont.



- Intermediate HTS
  - Heat transfer system should incorporate multiple primary and secondary heat transport loops
  - System should be configured to facilitate change out of heat exchange, circulating, and valve components
  - Secondary side of plant will supply heat to the power conversion and hydrogen production system and other applications as they are identified over the life of the plant

# Pre-Conceptual Design Report Design Requirements, cont.



- Nuclear Heat Supply System (NHSS)
  - NHSS should be defined to include:
    - The nuclear island and all of its support, control, monitoring, maintenance, refueling, spent fuel storage, etc. SSCs
    - The Intermediate HTS(s) including, at the least, the IHXs, primary circulation systems, and the support, control, monitoring, maintenance, etc. SSCs. Depending on the design, it may also include the secondary circulation system up to and including isolation valves.

# Pre-Conceptual Design Report Design Requirements, cont.



- Power Conversion System (PCS)
  - The PCS should incorporate steam generation
  - The configuration should not preclude use of Brayton-cycle gas turbine PCSs in a combined-cycle configuration
- Licensing and Permitting
- Design Features to Support Short-Term and Long-Term Operating Objectives
  - The NNGP should be designed to monitor key operating parameters in the NHSS, PCS, and hydrogen production plant required for proving the principles of the designs
  - The plant should also be designed to permit change out of principal components and to vary operating conditions to perform special testing to collect data/experience to support validation of design assumptions, extension of operating conditions (e.g., to higher gas temperatures), and upgrade of components (e.g., design, capacity, efficiency, maximum temperature and lifetime of the IHXs, and higher heat capacity heat transport fluids, such as liquid metals and molten salts) over the lifetime of the plant

# Pre-Conceptual Design Report Design Requirements, cont.




- Initial Operating Conditions
  - The initial operating conditions and configuration of the NGNP (i.e., at initial operation in 2018) will be based on these requirements and consideration of the impact of the technical development risks on the schedule for operation
  - The selection of initial operating conditions and the plant configuration for the NGNP must be balanced against the schedule and cost risks associated with design, licensing, R&D, and construction. This balance must also consider the impact of technology selections on the viability of translating the NGNP experience to the private sector.

# Identified NGNP Requirements Documents




- Energy Policy Act of 2005
- *Next Generation Nuclear Plant – High Level Functions and Requirements*, INEEL/EXT-03-01163, Idaho National Laboratory, September 2003
- Independent Technology Review Group, *Design Features and Technology Uncertainties for the Next Generation Nuclear Plant*, INEEL/EXT-04-01816, June 30, 2004
- *Next Generation Nuclear Plant Pre-Conceptual Design Report*, INL/EXT-07-12967, Rev. 1, November 2007
- *Next Generation Nuclear Plant System Requirements Manual*, INL/EXT-07-12999, Rev. 1, June 2008
- (*Summary of Bounding Conditions for Development of the NGNP Project*, INL/EXT-08-14370, June 2008)
- (*Summary of Bounding Requirements for the NGNP Demonstration Plant F&ORs*, INL/EXT-08-14395, June 2008 [contains INL/EXT-07-12999 as Appendix A])


# Recommended NGNP Primary and Secondary Configuration, AREVA




# Conventional Steam Cycle NGNP Arrangement, AREVA



# Commercial Process Heat Cogeneration Facility, AREVA





# Commercial HTR Arrangement for Conventional Steam Nuclear Heat Source, AREVA



# NEXT GENERATION NUCLEAR PLANT PROJECT INFORMATION INPUT SHEET

1. Document Information			
Document ID:	<u>NGNP SAG Meeting</u>	Revision ID:	Project Number: <u>23843</u>
Document Title/Description:	<u>Next Generation Nuclear Plant Project Senior Advisory Group Meeting Reference Configuration</u>	Sub-Project No.:	Date of Record: <u>10/28/08</u>
Document Author/Creator:	<u>Phil Mills</u>	<b>OR</b>	
Document Owner:	<u>Phil Mills</u>	Date Range:	
Originating Organization:	<u>INL</u>	From:	To:

2. Records Management Requirements			
Category:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General Record	<input type="checkbox"/> Quality Assurance	<input type="checkbox"/> Controlled Document
If QA, Record, QA Classification:	<input type="checkbox"/> Lifetime	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Permanent	
Uniform Filing Code:	<u>8201</u>	Disposition Authority:	<u>A17-31-a-1</u> Retention Period: <u>Until dismantlement or disposal of facility, equipment, system, or process; or when superseded or obsolete, whichever is earlier.</u>
Keywords:	_____		
Medium:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hard Copy	<input type="checkbox"/> CD/Disk (each CD/Disk must have an attached index)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
Total Number of Pages (including transmittal sheet):	<u>871</u>	File Index Code:	<u>8201.2</u>
Folder:	<u>Engineering</u>		
Type:	<u>Communications</u>		
Special Instructions:	_____ _____		

3. Signatures			
Phil Mills		<u>085841</u>	<u>3/18/10</u>
Print/Type Sender Name	Sender Signature	Sender S Number	Date
QA RECORD VALIDATOR:			
Print/Type Authenticator Name	Authenticator Signature	Authenticator S Number	Date
Tammy Albrethsen		<u>105429</u>	<u>3/22/10</u>
Print/Type Receiver Name	Receiver Signature	Receiver S Number	Date

4. Records Processing Information For Document Control and Records Management Use Only			
<input type="checkbox"/> Image	<input type="checkbox"/> Vault Page:	Import: _____	Index: _____ QC: _____

**NOTE:** This transmittal to be used in accordance with PLN-1485. Instructions for completion can be found on Form 435.77A.