# HTGR Technology Course for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

May 24 – 27, 2010

## Module 6d

Pebble Bed HTGR Refueling Design

Outline

- **Principal functions and features of Pebble Bed Fuel Handling System (FHS)**
- **Fuel Handling System operation**
- **Fuel Handling System experience and lessons learned**

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Principal Functions of Pebble Bed Fuel Handling System

- **Charge fresh fuel from storage**

- **Circulate used fuel**

- **Discharge spent fuel to storage**

- **Allow core to be unloaded and reloaded**

- **Limit planned shutdowns to be compatible with most process heat users**

Fresh Fuel Charging

Used Fuel Circulating

Spent Fuel Discharging

P B M R

On-line Refueling System Features

- Flexibility to introduce fuel for a different fuel cycle on-line
- Core design uncertainty is reduced by measuring each fuel sphere for burnup instead of calculating
- Excess reactivity can be adjusted on-line by changing the refueling parameters
- No reload analyses are required and approach to criticality is only required on initial core load or when the core is reloaded from the used fuel tank
- Automated and closed fuel handling system allows for accounting of all fuel at any time
- The safeguards approach for the pebble-bed uses integrated inventory control rather than the traditional item control. This approach has been successfully used by the IAEA on AVR and THTR

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Outline

- **Principal functions and features of Pebble Bed Fuel Handling System (FHS)**
- **Fuel Handling System operation**
- **Fuel Handling System experience and lessons learned**

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Fuel Charging Operation

- **Fresh fuel is normally stored in drums on site**
- **Fresh fuel is charged from the drums in to the circulating circuit to replace spent fuel**
- **Sufficient drums are stored for ~180 days of operation without resupply**

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Fuel Circulation Operation

- **Extract spheres from the bottom of the core by means of gravity, this allows spheres to move down through the core**
- **Separate out broken/damaged spheres**
- **Measure each sphere for burnup**
- **When a sphere has reached its burnup limit, discharge as spent fuel, otherwise lift the sphere to the top of the reactor by pneumatic means**
- **Distribute spheres to inner and outer core depending on burnup and reactor requirements (AVR & THTR)**
- **Spheres pass a number of times through the core (1-15) before reaching burnup limit. Number of passes influences the power profile and the amount of spheres circulated per day**

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Fuel Discharge Operation

- **Fuel determined to have reached their burnup limit are discharged to spent fuel storage**
- **Spent fuel storage can be in casks (HTR-Modul), drums (THTR) or tanks (PBMR)**
- **Storage geometry ensures subcriticality**
- **Decay heat can be removed by air or water cooling**

Exhaust Duct

Cooling Unit

Gamma Shield

Spent Fuel Tanks

SSS Piping

Used Fuel Tank

Unload Piping

Copyright PBMR

Idaho National Laboratory

8

P B M R

Fuel Handling System Maintenance Modes

- **Online maintenance is possible for a majority of the components – The plant can operate without running the fuel circulation, loading and unloading for about 15~20 days**

  – Most actuators (except Isolation valves), burnup measurement system, gas blowers, filter, and broken sphere containers

- **Reactor must be depressurized for maintenance of**

  – Core unloading device (CUD), isolation valves

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Outline

- **Principal functions and features of Pebble Bed Fuel Handling System (FHS)**
- **Fuel Handling System operation**
- **Fuel Handling System experience and lessons learned**

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

AVR Experience

- **Circulated 2,400,000 Pebbles**
- **Charged/Discharged: ~ 300,000 Pebbles**
- **Overall Impact on Plant Unavailability <3% (higher initial period, later lower)**

Note: Low AVR Broken Sphere Rate: ~10^{-4} (and even better the latter part of life: ~2×10^{-5}) had significant positive impact on the reliability

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Lessons Learned from AVR

- **Simplify sphere removal from core:**
  - 2 (redundant) Core Unloading Devices (CUD) that take over the function of the reducer, singularizer, and the broken sphere separator
- **Improve actuation method for various moving components**
  - Electro-pneumatic actuators for valves and indexers, diverters and collectors, mounted in functional blocks for easier maintenance, instead of the dosing wheel and elevator
- **Maintenance on AVR components can be done readily after some decontamination (dust is not a major issue)**

THTR Experience

- **Circulated: ~1,400,000 pebbles**
- **Charged/discharged: ~235,000 pebbles**
- **Overall impact on plant unavailability ~15% (higher initial, lower later)**
- **THTR completed the core unloading in 10 months, without major interruptions, demonstrating that the modifications to the FHS performed as designed**

Note: high broken sphere rate: initially~1.5% to later ~0.6% caused jamming in the FHS near the discharge of the CUD, Also required frequent exchange of the damaged sphere container. Broken spheres were caused by the in-core rod design that pushed into the bed.

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Lessons Learned from THTR

- Early troubles can be resolved – but more testing prior to plant installation reduces the downtime

- Dust is there, but has not been a maintenance/health-physics issue at either AVR or THTR

- Do not use in-core rods which causes high failure rate of spheres resulting in frequent exchange of broken sphere can and jamming in the sphere circulation system

- Excess reactivity in the core allows online maintenance of most of the system (15 to 20 day reactivity reserve)

- Many of the maintenance activities could be carried out when the plant was at power – Exceptions are isolation valves, CUD and broken sphere container

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Pebble Bed FHS Design Philosophy

- **Follow THTR's example to the extent practicable**
  - Functional blocks mounted in the floor
  - Components installed in functional blocks
  - All components monitored for leaks
- **Improve those components with known deficiencies**
  - Pebble counter
  - Core unloading device and lead-in plenum

Idaho National Laboratory

P   B   M   R

Typical Functional Block

Drive

Penetration Seal

Functional unit such as indexer

Leak Monitoring

Steel block filled with iron shot concrete

Pebble Lines

Actuator Penetrations

Building Floor

Actuator Inserts

Electro-Pneumatic Drives

Copyright PBMR

Pebble Pathway

Idaho National Laboratory

P   B   M   R

FHS Tests at the
PBMR Helium Test Facility

- **System Tests**
  - Sphere circulation
  - System cleaning
  - System maintenance

- **Component Tests**
  - Core unloading device
  - Tank unloading device
  - Sphere valve and valve block
  - Sphere counters
  - Gas valves and valve blocks
  - Mechanical and pneumatic sphere brakes
  - Indexer and double-seat isolation valves

- **Burnup Measurement System testing done in Russia**

Note: These tests have been ongoing since 2006 and will continue up to qualifications tests when a reactor construction project is ordered

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

FHS Components under Test

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Summary

- **Refueling is accomplished on-line during power operation in a pebble bed reactor and provides core design flexibility**

- **Most maintenance activities on the FHS is accomplished on-line during power operation**

- **Good experience on the AVR and THTR of FHS operation and maintenance**

- **Lessons learned from AVR & THTR are incorporated in modern pebble bed FHS designs**

- **Full scale FHS circulation loop and components are under test at the PBMR HTF in South Africa**

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R

Suggested Reading

- **The Fuel Handling and Storage System (FHSS) Model for the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor (PBMR) Plant Simulator, T. Dudley et al, Proceedings of HTR-2006, October 2006, Johannesburg South Africa**

- **Design and Full Scale Test of the Fuel Handling System, J.G. Liu et al, Nuclear Engineering and Design, Volume 218, Issues 1-3, October 2002, Page 169-178**

- **The Measurement of Burn-up Level in the HTR-10, L. Zhengpei et al, Proceedings of the Conference on High Temperature Reactors HTR-2002, April 2002, Petten NL, p.1-5**

- **PBMR Nuclear Material Safeguards, J. Slabber, Proceedings of the 2nd International Topical Meeting on High Temperature Reactor Technology, September 2004, Beijing China**

Idaho National Laboratory

P B M R