

3D analysis of buffer porosity before and after irradiation

R. L. Seibert, T. J. Gerczak, J. D. Hunn, D. J. Skitt

ART Program Review July 12th 2022 Nuclear Fuel Development Section Oak Ridge National Laboratory

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle LLC for the US Department of Energy



Background

- Densification of buffer under irradiation generally causes partial or full tearing of the buffer from the IPyC.
- There is some indication from PIE that fractures beginning in the buffer may propagate into the IPyC and expose the SiC layer, which may lead to concentrated attack of fission products in UCO TRISO.
- The nature of the buffer and the transition region into the IPyC possibly influence the fracture propagation into IPyC.
- Work has been done already to study the buffer, but serial sectioning presents a higher resolution method for examining pore structure.
 - XCT has been used to study pores in the buffer (Bari et. al.), but has lower resolution than serial sectioning
 - Schumacher showed that samples with a greater porosity were able to tolerate only a lower fraction load and flexure strength, hardness value, and modulus, meaning it took a lower load to fracture.







Images from top to bottom: Schumacher, Austin, Master's Thesis, University of Tennessee, 2019. https://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_gradthes/5667

Bari, K., C. Osarinmwian, E. López-Honorato and T. J. Abram (2013). Nucl. Eng. Des. 265: 668-674.

Hunn, J. D., C. A. Baldwin, T. J. Gerczak, F. C. Montgomery, R. N. Morris, C. M. Silva, P. A. Demkowicz, J. M. Harp and S. A. Ploger (2016). Nucl. Eng. Des. 306: 36-46. Open slide master to edit



Sample Pedigree

- Unirradiated
 - Deconsolidated particle from an AGR-2 compact from the same AGR-2 compact lot used in the irradiation experiment
- Irradiated (AGR-2 Compact 5-4-2)
 - "Intact" Buffer
 - "Fractured" Buffer
 - Allows exploration of densification for different buffer responses
- 5-4-2 experienced midrange irradiation conditions
 - 12.03% FIMA
 - 3.14 x 10²⁵ n/m² Neutron Fast Fluence
 - 1071 °C Time-Average Volume-Averaged Temperature



B.P. Collin, "AGR-2 Irradiation Test Final As-Run Report," INL/EXT-14-32277 B.P. Collin, "AGR-1 Irradiation Test Final As-Run Report," INL/EXT-10-18097

Error bars represent the TAVA minimum and maximum for each compact.



CAK RIDGE National Laboratory

3D reconstruction analysis provides a quantitative method to describe the buffer

Sample Preparation for sectioning



CAK RIDGE

National Laboratory

Pre-Milled Region to allow for appropriate imaging conditions

Top schema

ROI +

Pt Cap



- Use of a focused ion beam to mill material of x
 thickness
- Image after each milling "slice" using scanning electron microscopy
- Possibility to couple with analytical techniques such as energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) or electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD)



Data Analysis

CAK RIDGE National Laboratory

- Data collected contains image stacks of 300-600 images
- Images are segmented into regions (Pores, Buffer) using a combination of thresholding, manual segmentation, and some machine learning
 - Avizo Amira (Thermo Fisher), Dragonfly (The Objects work initialized by Claire Griesbach, U. Wisconsin)
- After segmentation, data mining takes place to gather information
 - Each feature is isolated and identified, and unique statistics are defined
 - Spreadsheets produced through materials statistics and analysis via Avizo Amira (Thermo Fisher)
- There is a LOT of data to mine, and identifying what is crucial is an important (and difficult) process



	we 🖸 🖬										9	Search														
File	Home	Ins	ert I	Draw	Page I	ayout	Form	nulas	Data	Revi	ew 1	View	Help											合 Share	Com	ments
Ĉ,	X Dr.	Calibri		× 11	• A*	A" 3	= = [- P/-	- 22	Wrap Te	oct	C	ieneral		*		3			E la	isert ~ elete ~	Σ.	27	2		
Paste	3	B I	<u>U</u> ~ [∃ - ≤	3 - A	*	5 E 3	1	王田	Merge 8	& Center	*	\$ - %	9 2	8 -38	Format	tional Fi ting Y	ormat as Table Y	Cell Styles ~	E F	ormat ~	Q.	Sort & Filter ~	Find & : Select ~		
Clipboar	d na		Fo	nt		rg.		,	lignment			rg.	Nu	nber	rg.		51	/ies			Cells		Editing		iensitivity	
A1			× v	f_X	Volu	me																				
A IS	8	с	0	E	F	G	н	1		x	τ.	м	N	0	P	9	R	5	т	U	v	w	x	Y Z	AA	AB
Volume	Centroid	Centroid	Centroid	PrincipalA	PrincipalA.	PrincipalA.	DigenVect	EigenVect-	EigenVect-	Digenviect	DigenVect	DigenVect	DigenVecto	ElgenVecti	EigenVect	EigenVelu	DigenValu	Diger/Valu	Solidity	SurfaceArcl	YC_neigh5K	neighl po	res_net FP	neighb Interface	5 Interfaced	PlaneSur
0.0000	7 9.177	12.203	0.897	0.203049	0.064621	0.049023	-0.333442	0.942201	0.032766	0.93086	0.323523	0.1698	-0.149385	-0.087119	0.584934	2.863119	0.289991	0.166889	0.714286	0.02218	81	0	3	0 -50.7521	4 -10.76068	-11.54
0.63274	5 1.764686	3.644384	8.240864	2.46918	1.784027	1.652565	-0.654425	0.74399	0.134933	0.099788	0.261872	-0.95993	0.745513	0.654738	0.245617	423.3924	221.0344	185.7472	0.114113	26.57582	20685	1202	5007	0 -1.36128	6 -2.710978	-2
0.00233	6 5.253928	1.036705	7.270227	0.307659	0.206285	0.093911	0.698515	-0.006149	0.715569	0.389128	0.84346	-0.372654	-0.600547	0.538724	0.590863	6.573194	2.955115	0.612445	0.55	0.134255	52	0	106	0 -3.46522	7 -3.617085	-4.8113
0.00056	7 6.208571	7.345425	7.522857	0.199807	0.121338	0.056343	0.809158	-0.206332	0.550173	0.371356	0.905193	-0.20669	-0.455366	0.371555	0.809066	2.772411	1.022087	0.215675	0.636364	0.040548	29	0	27	0 -4.13934	8 -4.178254	4.7133
0.01483	7 8.653394	4.025172	7.804247	0.51534	0.247408	0.195295	-0.167955	0.234332	0.957538	-0.211951	-0.957204	0.197034	-0.56274	0.169852	-0.210434	18,44274	4.250737	2.648623	0.682776	0.392851	243	0	177	0 -3.04200	6 -3.334863	-4.3550
0.00130	7 1.745512	20.33343	7.692439	0.246989	0.14144	0.078254	-0.273893	-0.340773	0.899364	0.534042	0.723823	0.436897	0.7998664	-0.599961	0.006263	4.236363	1.389256	0.425692	0.585714	0.067965	25	0	55	0 -3.2591	1 -3.375633	-4.1704
0.00124	2 1.957826	8.295	7.73413	0.304286	0.193334	0.074975	0.511478	0.646224	0.566379	0.082885	-0.693145	0.716004	-0.85529	0.319281	0.408092	6.429876	2.595164	0.390367	0.585831	0.083554	36	0	79	0 -3.01794	6 -3.125476	-4.137
0.00585	9 6.150829	7.627743	7.778986	0.490236	0.237181	0.106787	-0.957274	-0.189222	-0.228683	0.02671	0.695122	-0.718395	-0.287948	0.693342	0.660368	16.68965	3.906593	0.791604	0.597796	0.247054	129	0	149	0 -3.84405	9 -3.557954	-4.4095
0.00051	3 7.055474	7.735423	7.71	0.152714	0.102533	0.062535	-0.993375	0.018048	-0.113487	-0.105678	0.244431	0.963891	0.045136	0.565439	-0.240904	1.619559	0.730065	0.271567	0.826087	0.033362	18	0	29	0 -3.56945	6 -3.610734	-1.5924
0.00094	5 7.387714	7.622573	7.727143	0.224351	0.118782	0.081435	0.84687	-0.250736	0.468981	0.273655	0.961611	0.015886	-0.455963	0.111517	0.882984	3.495378	0.979804	0.460532	0.648348	0.057819	44	0	30	0 -3.45651	6 -3.505253	-4.5803
0.00024	3 1.513333	1.463333	7.825667	0.127644	0.089727	0.052182	0.607546	-0.520026	0.600384	-0.204949	-0.83292	-0.514043	0.767387	0.189257	-0.612616	1.131451	0.559068	0.189091	0.818182	0.019375	6	0	24	0 -2.77203	9 -2.791125	-4.2013
0.00953	1 1.876062	8.060076	8.009745	0.900522	0.216937	0.190314	0.106272	0.903922	0.454284	0.801684	-0.324366	0.502064	0.588225	0.278768	-0.759131	56.31515	3.268185	2.515345	0.348814	0.375231	170	33	223	0 -2.70483	9 -2.820798	-3.7558
0.05173	2 8.538507	5.83785	8.361842	1.955244	0.977434	0.309342	-0.861182	0.48522	0.151416	0.507696	0.80664	0.302615	-0.024697	-0.33748	0.941009	265,4846	66.34569	6.636712	0.103814	1.909020	999	341	866	0 -2.40033	5 -2.666627	-3.6493
0.00170	6.170952	8.004763	7.978571	0.251655	0.169905	0.100495	-0.936124	-0.266834	0.229065	0.55132	-0.68054	0.642993	0.015685	-0.682397	-0.790814	4.397924	1.995269	0.701352	0.677419	0.098258	50	0	75	0 -3.6906	1 -3.730753	-4.2605
0.0021	6 1.979625	9.05175	8.063125	0.282462	0.233993	0.117878	-0.719951	0.615857	-0.319965	-0.29449	-0.688575	-0.662675	0.625445	0.382861	-0.677105	5.540601	3.002259	0.964953	0.446927	0.125484	120	28	20	0 -2.70541	4 -2.822553	-3.7940
0.00040	5 2.126	9.098	7.996	0.132309	0.057133	0.062017	0.913442	-0.34354	-0.217711	0.279517	-0.918875	0.275455	0.295794	0.193502	0.935448	1.215671	0.527239	0.26709	0.882353	0.026465	19	15	0	0 -2.90631	2 -2.915716	-3.9904
0.00095	9 3.577297	0.094865	0.023459	0.242532	0.152577	0.066362	-0.760007	-0.312616	-0.569657	-0.437611	0.094329	0.093126	-0.400340	-0.320074	0.81659	4.110614	1.616645	0.305829	0.569231	0.065972	43	0	35	0 -2.29691	1 -2.353423	-6.0204
0.00084	4 6.33375	4.99572	8.034375	0.153147	0.135841	0.074455	-0.925502	-0.337719	-0.171443	-0.295248	0.928862	-0.219691	-0.23344	0.152192	0.960387	2.329364	1.281447	0.385283	0.653061	0.053034	48	0	22	0 -3.3305	4 -3.389071	-4.1910
0.00112	3 1.496327	1.783465	8.152653	0.194631	0.160188	0.091417	-0.606640	-0.000056	0.790847	-0.76872	-0.193865	-0.609497	-0.202599	0.977691	-0.055452	2.630647	1.701967	0.501242	0.600556	0.074797	55	0	37	0 -2.44053	3 -2.504776	-3.7520
0.00663	5 8.879265	3.765553	8.228449	0.513029	0.234082	0.145772	0.856823	-0.475115	0.200301	-0.324069	-0.194077	0.925912	0.403041	0.858254	0.32026	18.27771	3.805154	1.475657	0.468451	0.266509	153	2	145	0 -2.75394	1 -2.946265	-4.058
0.0002/	3 4.19	1.566667	6.203333	0.115312	0.005054	0.06356	-0.733297	0.330230	0.554322	0.037927	0.992625	-0.449237	-0.67995	-0.306054	-0.667006	0.923396	0.502945	0.200548	0.018182	0.029220	16	3	20	0 -2.6075	3 -2.655974	-2.934
0.00091	9 9.224595	4.368545	8.211892	0.183413	0.140804	0.086252	-0.991521	-0.015715	0.125994	0.123545	0.193753	0.97324	-0.040287	0.580924	-0.190169	2.336138	1.176782	0.516627	0.672727	0.059785	55	0	0	0 -2.8	5 -2.94	-4.1791
0.00480	6 1.74573	1.754663	8.320649	0.360932	0.349287	0.110345	-0.101481	0.994744	0.013654	0.075043	0.082952	0.473567	-0.469945	-0.06003	0.880652	9.046646	8.472334	0.045568	0.445495	0.251730	117	0	181	0 -2.21975	7 -2.313893	-2.505
0.00024	3 8.43	5.25	8.25	0.162275	0.059753	0.034641	0.525731	0.850651	0	-0.850651	0.525731	0	0	0	1	1.828689	0.337977	0.083333	1	0.019531	19	11	0	0 -2.96034	3 -2.985493	-4.1795
0.02111	4 8.956995	3.054066	8.450256	0.967639	0.313412	0.233416	0.722049	-0.664226	0.193516	-0.515362	-0.703007	-0.490085	-0.461571	-0.254135	0.649922	65.02258	6.821344	3.783538	0.329996	0.679067	327	75	296	0 -2.43005	5 -2.728294	-3.7297
0.0007	6 0.086786	5.286429	8.305714	0.229983	0.095672	0.058355	-0.879815	-0.475301	0.003871	0.05992	-0.102829	0.992893	-0.471525	0.873793	0.118951	3.672992	0.635631	0.542342	0.666657	0.090455	41	0	23	0 -3.45052	2 -3.481164	-3
0.0000	1 0.866	2.373	0.325	0.199534	0.15098	0.064799	-0.572901	0.010615	-0.022537	0.601556	0.440083	0.666635	-0.555660	-0.369049	0.745007	2.764564	1.502901	0.291324	0.612245	0.052194	40	0	20	0 -2.6300	5 -2.668300	-2.5350
0.00051	4 1.096354	30.22318	8.342727	0.159825	0.129517	0.076525	-0.947848	0.335354	-0.038542	0.255479	0.727899	-0.631514	0.171755	0.608927	0.774406	2.002805	1.164905	0.40667	0.55	0.042885	23	24	33	0 -2.73340	7 -2.792418	-3.5526
0.04733	5 2.283009	12.74333	8.686342	1.429906	0.697095	0.402295	0.000008	0.977233	-0.212168	0.569337	-0.174454	-0.803375	-0.822104	-0.120709	-0.556394	141.9883	33.74597	11.23905	0.155337	1.745704	775	134	834	0 -2.76883	1 -3.203404	-2.8800
0.00033	1 5.976928	5.120765	8.37	0.196827	0.131731	0.043223	-0.974196	-0.052962	-0.2194	0.202609	-0.633548	-0.746704	0.099454	0.771888	-0.627931	2.690342	1.205067	0.12974	0.684211	0.029416	17	0	28	0 -2.9729	7 -3.008536	-3.8710
()	54	1 highs	ilver D	55 FP d	ata	(+)										;	4									
	-																				andonesis					
1.00																						(777)	m			+ 70

Volume Centroid position (x,y,z) Centroid position from interface Feature shape and size Solidity Total surface area and volume of features Surface area associated with each phase Etc.

Pore density as a function of distance from the fuel kernel

Key Findings:

- Irradiation condenses the buffer radius
- Average pore fraction decreases from an average of 11% in the unirradiated to 1% in the fractured buffer and 2% in the intact buffer
- Despite shrinkage, irradiated samples still maintain apparent buffer "striations"





Pore Count and Average Size as a function of Distance



In all samples, the pore count was increased near the IPyC rather than at the Fuel/Buffer interface, which is not unexpected due to the buffer deposition methods. This held true in all samples, an example of which is shown here from the fractured sample



Pore Visualization

CAK RIDGE

8

Unirradiated



Irradiated - Intact



Irradiated - Fractured





9

Pore Analysis – Shape and Size

- Builds off shared surface area analysis
- Pore shape analyzed using methodology proposed for sediment by Blott and Pye [1], with Sneed and Folk 1958 notation.
 - Use ratios of L (longest dimension), I (longest dimension perpendicular to L), and S (perpendicular to both L and I)



[1] S.J. Blott and K. Pye, "Particle shape: a review and new methods of characterization and classification," Sedimentology 55(1), p. 31-63, 2007. Figures from [1].



Pore Shape: Pores elongate with irradiation





Rendering from B. Rhoads River Dynamics Appendix B – Characterization of Fluvial Sediment, Cambridge University Press (2020)



11

Pore Shape: Pores elongate with irradiation



There was a slight shift observed between the unirradiated and the irradiated samples where sphere-like pores were elongated and squished into more rod or disk-like shapes. This is to be expected during shrinkage, as material is moving into the void space of pores



Implications and Conclusions

- The data showed a difference before and after irradiation in pore size, shape, and frequency, but thus far has not alluded to significant insight in structural changes between the fractured and intact irradiated samples, with the exception being that the average volume fraction is marginally lower in the fractured samples.
- Densification of the buffer is not completely accounted for by porosity change. There are at least two influencing mechanisms here, including porosity structure change and a change in structure in the dense material.
- 3D Slice and View Analysis is a powerful tool for providing quantitative data to describe complex interface and layer properties
 - Approaches to quantifying interface structure have been established which is the first step to connecting interface structure and properties to TRISO particle performance



Acknowledgements

This work was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Energy-Advanced Reactor Technologies as part of the Advanced Gas Reactor Fuel Development and Qualification Program. Hot cell activities were supported by the staff of the ORNL Irradiated Fuels Examination Laboratory.

Co-Authors

Tyler Gerczak John Hunn Darren Skitt

Contributors

Claire Griesbach (University of Wisconsin, segmentation)

Grant Helmreich (ORNL, Visualization)

