

July 27, 2023

Aman Haque Professor Pennsylvania State University

Multi-scale Effects of Irradiation Damage on Nuclear Graphite Properties



U.S. Department of Energy

DE-NE0009129



Research Goals



- Multi-scale interaction mechanisms between pre-existing and irradiation defects
- Influence of the constituent (filler, binder, interface), radiation displacement damage and temperature
- Role of stress localization on the above-mentioned mechanisms



Outline

- High temperature ion irradiation effects
- In situ TEM compression testing after ion irradiation
- In situ X-ray CT fracture testing & finite element analysis





In situ X-ray CT fracture testing on NBG-18

- Measure fracture toughness while observing pre-existing defects, crack nucleation and propagation
- Three-point bending under displacement control (ASTM D7779-20)





Mechanical tester (CT5000, Deben, Suffolk, UK) Micro-CT (Xradia 620 Versa, ZEISS, Jena, Germany)

In situ X-ray CT fracture testing

Fracture toughness of the NBG-18 specimens: 1.17 ± 0.06 MPa \sqrt{m}

P: applied force
S: loading span
a: crack length
B and W : width and depth respectively
A₀ to A₅ : geometry of the specimen.

$$K_{I} = g \left[\frac{PS10^{-6}}{BW^{3/2}} \right] \left[\frac{3[a/W]^{3/2}}{2[1-a/W]^{3/2}} \right]$$

 $g = A_0 + A_1(a/W) + A_2(a/W)^2 + A_3(a/W)^3 + A_4(a/W)^4 + A_5(a/W)^5$





Crack nucleation



Primary crack

No Load Displacement: 0.105 mm



Secondary crack

Crack propagation

Crack propagated along and deflected by the thermal cracks (a3), gas entrapment pores (a4 and b3), and the unfilled voids (a5 and b5).



Toughening mechanisms



Crack bridging by uncracked ligament: (a)in the filler, (b)in the binder, (c) at the filler-binder boundary





Crack deflection due to thermal crack and gas entrapment pores

Finite element analysis

• Voxel-based method to mesh the complex microstructures of nuclear graphite.



Gray-scale based model

Knudsen's expression used to approximate constituents



Predicting crack nucleation

Micro-CT: Unloaded





Stress distribution



Maximum Principal Stress

100 µm

(10^3GPa)

+6.000e-05 +5.483e-05 .967e-05 50e-0





100 µm

Strain distribution

Maximum Principal Strain .000e-03 500e-01



Micro-CT: 0.105mm



100 µm

ADVANCED REACTOR TECHNOLOGIES

not enough to predict accurately

•

Local stress

concentrations

Predicting crack propagation

(a1)









Strain +1.300e-02 le-02 ٩ .6 e +1.083e-03 +0.000e+00

(**C**.

Micro-CT: 0.115mm

(c1



Discussion

 FEA model can easily predict crack propagation – but not its nucleation site. Can residual stress due to machining be the clue?

• G peak shift ranges from 1571.54 cm^{-1} to 1576.43 cm^{-1}

$$\Delta \varpi_G = -\frac{5}{\varpi_{G0}}\sigma$$

• Localized residual stress: 1.1 GPa to 2.6 GPa (Tension).



In situ X-ray CT fracture testing & finite element analysis

• In situ TEM compression testing after ion irradiation

• High temperature ion irradiation effects





ADVANCED REACTOR TECHNOLOGIES

Pristine



In situ TEM compression on IG-110

- 2.8 MeV Au⁺² (fluence of 4.378 x 10¹⁴ cm⁻²)
- Cuboid (~200 nm) micro pillars milled with FIB





TEM EDS

Pristine IG-110 micro pillar

FEI Titan
 ETEM G2 at
 300 kV,
 using the
 Hysitron PI
 95 in
 displaceme
 nt-controlled
 mode

Ion irradiated micro pillar

FEI Titan
 ETEM G2 at
 300 kV,
 using the
 Hysitron PI 95 in
 displacement
 controlled
 mode

Ion irradiated micro pillar







Raman spectra for IG-110



Underlying mechanisms

- Radiation displacement causes contraction in the a/b direction (i.e., parallel to the basal planes)
- From ruck/tuck, ripplocations to microscale kink bands generated

Barsoum, Frontiers in Materials, 2020





Johns et al., Carbon 2020

Mechanisms under external force

- External force causes extreme localization in the ripplocations and kink bands.
- Shear band generation becomes natural response to accommodate the localization
- Multitudes of shear bands pile up similar to dislocations (against GB), acting as 'plastic zones' impeding the crack front





- In situ mechanical tests need to be HRTEM at very low kV to elucidate atomic origin of shear banding.
- Mechanical behavior at high temperature needs to be studied.





• In situ X-ray CT fracture testing & finite element analysis

• In situ TEM compression testing after ion irradiation

• High temperature ion irradiation effects

In situ TEM heating & irradiation



- Pristine IG 110 lamella heated to 800 °C in 100 °C increments in Jeol I³TEM at 200 kV.
- Micrograph and a diffraction pattern were acquired in the intervals.
- At 800 °C, sample is exposed to the 2.8 MeV Au 4⁺ ion beam for 1 hour and 10 minutes (final fluence of 1.6762 x 10¹⁴ ion cm⁻²) at a flux of 3.991 x 10¹⁰ ion cm⁻² s⁻¹ with

Hattar, Khalid Mikhiel, Blythe Clark, and Jonathan S. Custer. *In situ Ion Irradiation TEM at Sandia's IBL*. No. SAND2012-0648C. Sandia National Lab.(SNL-NM), Albuquerque, NM (United States), 2012.

Micro-crack closure upon irradiation

Fluence: 0



Fluence: 7.423 x 10¹³ ion cm⁻²



Scale bar: 100 um

Fluence: 1.486 x 10¹⁴ ion cm⁻²



Micro-crack closure upon irradiation



Expansion upon heating and irradiation





ADVANCED REACTOR TECHNOLOGIES

240-



- Combination of experiment and FEA modeling was pursued. The model reasonably
 predicts crack propagation but not crack nucleation. This highlights importance of
 localized residual stress (mechanical hotspots)
- Defects originating from ion irradiation showed very high mobility under external stress. Shear banding appeared to be the mechanism for accommodation of localized deformation. These bands produce 'strain hardening' behavior by impeding further defect motion
- Opportunities exist to explore atomic origins of defect generation and dynamics under external mechanical load and temperature as function of radiation dosage.

Acknowledgements



- TPOC: Dr. William Windes
- Dr. Steven Johns and Dr. Yuzhou Wang (INL)
- Dr. Khalid Hattar and Dr. Ryan Schoell (SNL)
- Dr. Jing Du, Dr. Melonie Thomas and Mr. Gongyuan Liu (Penn State)