July 25, 2023

John D. Hunn ORNL TRISO Team Lead

AGR-5/6/7 Safety Testing and Compact Destructive Exams at ORNL

DOE ART Gas-Cooled Reactor (GCR) Review Meeting

Virtual Meeting July 25 – 27, 2023



ORNL Coauthors and Contributors

- Will Cureton Safety testing in the Core Conduction Cooldown Test Facility (CCCTF)
- Fred Montgomery Deconsolidation Leach-Burn-Leach (DLBL) and burnup analysis
- Tyler Gerczak Electron microscopy and Furnace for Irradiated TRISO Testing (FITT)
- Darren Skitt Irradiated Microsphere Gamma Analyzer (IMGA), materialography, particle heating in FITT
- Grant Helmreich X-ray Computed Tomography (XCT)
- Jesse Werden Electron microscopy
- Katherine Montoya Electron microscopy, analysis of oxidation of FITT specimens
- Zach Burns Support FITT operation
- Bob Morris (consulting) IMGA, gamma scanning, and CCCTF systems
- Chuck Baldwin (consulting) IMGA, gamma scanning, materialography, and CCCTF systems
- Irradiated Fuels Examination Laboratory (IFEL) hot cell operators
- Radioactive Materials Analytical Laboratory (RMAL) chemists and supporting staff

Perform safety test in ORNL Core Conduction Cooldown Test Facility (CCCTF) if applicable

- modernized version of the same in cell furnace used for TRISO fuel tests predating AGR
- cylindrical fuel compact is placed in graphite holder
- compact in holder positioned on thermocouple finger
- copper-plated deposition cup screwed on water-cooled cold finger at top
- compact heated in flowing helium up to 1800°C
- ⁸⁵Kr release monitored in He exhaust
- metallic fission products (FP) collected on cup
 - ⁹⁰Sr, ^{110m}Ag, ¹³⁴Cs, ¹³⁷Cs, ¹⁵⁴Eu, ¹⁵⁵Eu
- deposition cup exchanged every 12–24 h to monitor FP release
- Ta furnace liner, Ta gas inlet tube, graphite holder, and compact matrix are analyzed after the test to provide information on compact release and TRISO performance



Will Cureton Safety Testing in the CCCTF



• Perform safety test in ORNL Core Conduction Cooldown Test Facility (CCCTF) if applicable

Deconsolidate and leach (DL) compact



Deconsolidation Rig



Soxhlet extractor for LBL



Particles leached in Soxhlet extractor



Fred Montgomery

Deconsolidation Leach-Burn-Leach and Burnup Analysis

- Perform safety test in ORNL Core Conduction Cooldown Test Facility (CCCTF) if applicable
- Deconsolidate and leach (DL) compact
- Further digest matrix in boiling acid, wash and sieve out TRISO particles, burn-leach (BL) matrix





Clean TRISO particles





- Perform safety test in ORNL Core Conduction Cooldown Test Facility (CCCTF) if applicable
- Deconsolidate and leach (DL) compact
- Further digest matrix in boiling acid, wash and sieve out TRISO particles, burn-leach (BL) matrix
- Gamma scan TRISO particles with Irradiated Microsphere Gamma Analyzer (IMGA)
 - 1–5-minute quick survey of all particles to find low-Ce and low-Cs particles
 - 4–6-hour extended scans to measure particle inventories (¹⁰⁶Ru, ^{110m}Ag, ¹²⁵Sb, ¹³⁴Cs, ¹³⁷Cs, ¹⁴⁴Ce, ¹⁵⁴Eu)









- Perform safety test in ORNL Core Conduction Cooldown Test Facility (CCCTF) if applicable
- Deconsolidate and leach (DL) compact
- Further digest matrix in boiling acid, wash and sieve out TRISO particles, burn-leach (BL) matrix
- Gamma scan TRISO particles with Irradiated Microsphere Gamma Analyzer (IMGA)
 - 1–5-minute quick survey of all particles to find low-Ce and low-Cs particles
 - 4-6-hour extended scans to measure particle inventories (¹⁰⁶Ru, ^{110m}Ag, ¹²⁵Sb, ¹³⁴Cs, ¹³⁷Cs, ¹⁴⁴Ce, ¹⁵⁴Eu)
 - Burn-leach 90% of the particles after IMGA survey, saving 10% unburned TRISO as an archive
- Analyze select particles with nondestructive 3D x-ray computed tomography (XCT)
 - XCT of particles with low-Ce or low-Cs that may have failed TRISO or failed SiC
 - XCT of particles with varied inventories (e.g., high vs low Ag or Eu retention)









- Perform safety test in ORNL Core Conduction Cooldown Test Facility (CCCTF) if applicable
- Deconsolidate and leach (DL) compact
- Further digest matrix in boiling acid, wash and sieve out TRISO particles, burn-leach (BL) matrix
- Gamma scan TRISO particles with Irradiated Microsphere Gamma Analyzer (IMGA)
 - 1–5-minute quick survey of all particles to find low-Ce and low-Cs particles
 - 4-6-hour extended scans to measure particle inventories (¹⁰⁶Ru, ^{110m}Ag, ¹²⁵Sb, ¹³⁴Cs, ¹³⁷Cs, ¹⁴⁴Ce, ¹⁵⁴Eu)
 - Burn-leach 90% of the particles after IMGA survey, saving 10% unburned TRISO as an archive
- Analyze select particles with non-destructive 3D x-ray computed tomography (XCT)
 - XCT of particles with low-Ce or low-Cs that may have failed TRISO or failed SiC
 - XCT of particles with varied inventories (e.g., high vs low Ag or Eu retention)
 - Perform materialographic examination (optical and electron microscopy of polished sections)
 - guided sectioning for targeted examination of regions of interest observed with XCT
 - random midplane cross sections of particles with varied inventories
 - scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) for microstructural and elemental information,



Tyler Gerczak, Jesse Werden, and Katherine Montoya SEM, EDS, and FIB-SEM

as well as 3D SEM using focused ion beam (FIB) technology

FY22Q3–FY23Q3 AGR-5/6/7 Progress at ORNL

• Shipments: First 4 compacts received 3/23/2022; 16 compacts have been shipped to date.

AGR-2 Compact	Average Burnup	Fast Fluence	TAVA Temperature	Safety Test				VCT	Optical		
				Temperature	Furnace Run	Chemistry	DLBL	IIWIGA	XC1	Microscopy	
2-2-1	14.03% FIMA	4.72×10 ²⁵ n/m ²	845°C	As-Irradiated		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
1-5-9	9.29% FIMA	$3.30 \times 10^{25} \text{ n/m}^2$	1070°C	As-Irradiated		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
4-1-3	14.06% FIMA	$5.01 \times 10^{25} \text{ n/m}^2$	786°C	1600°C FACS test, compact sent to ORNL		DL √	\checkmark	\checkmark	in progress		
3-6-3	14.77% FIMA	5.47×10 ²⁵ n/m ²	1363°C	As-Irradiated		DL √	FY24	FY24	FY24	FY24	
2-2-2	14.02% FIMA	4.72×10 ²⁵ n/m ²	845°C	1600°C	\checkmark						
2-2-4	14.33% FIMA	4.94×10 ²⁵ n/m ²	856°C	1600°C	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	in progress
2-3-2	14.36% FIMA	4.85×10 ²⁵ n/m ²	874°C	1800°C	\checkmark	in progress	DL √	\checkmark	in progress		
5-5-3	7.64% FIMA	$2.13 \times 10^{25} \text{ n/m}^2$	773°C	1600°C	\checkmark	\checkmark	FY24	FY24	FY24	FY24	FY24
3-1-2	13.76% FIMA	5.48×10 ²⁵ n/m ²	1193°C	1600°C	\checkmark	in progress	DL √	in progress	FY24	FY24	FY24
5-2-2	8.82% FIMA	2.99×10 ²⁵ n/m ²	789°C	1800°C	\checkmark		FY24	FY24	FY24	FY24	FY24
5-6-2	6.75% FIMA	1.67×10 ²⁵ n/m ²	634°C	1600°C	in progress		FY24	FY24	FY24	FY24	FY24
4-1-2	13.73% FIMA	4.78×10 ²⁵ n/m ²	774°C	1600°C			FY24	FY24	FY24	FY24	FY24
FIMA = fissions per initial metal (U) atom TAVA = time-average volume-average				\checkmark = completed some work planned for FY24 may be pulled forward if time and funding allow							

Cumulative Safety Test Releases from Six AGR-5/6/7 Compacts

- Low Cs indicates no failed particles.
- Safety test releases include contribution from matrix inventory at end of irradiation and particle releases.
- Matrix inventory affected by irradiation temperature and nuclide time at temperature.

Compact	⁹⁰ Sr	¹⁰⁴ Pd	^{110m} Ag	¹³⁴ Cs	¹⁵⁴ Eu
AGR-5/6/7 2-2-2 (1600°C)	2.8E-3	1.8E-3	2.6E-2	8.3E-6	6.5E-3
(845°C TAVA, 14.0% FIMA)	(6.3)	(4.2)	(59)	(0.019)	(15)
AGR-5/6/7 2-2-4 (1600°C)	3.0E-3	9.4E-4	2.1E-2	7.2E-6	6.9E-3
(856°C TAVA, 14.3% FIMA)	(6.8)	(2.1)	(48)	(0.016)	(16)
AGR-5/6/7 5-5-3 (1600°C)	8.3E-6	4.8E-4	1.8E-3	1.3E-5	6.6E-5
(773°C TAVA, 7.6% FIMA)	(0.028)	(1.6)	(6.2)	(0.045)	(0.22)
AGR-5/6/7 2-3-2 (1800°C)	TBD	TBD	~9.6E-3	~1.0E-3	>8.8E-2
(874°C TAVA, 14.4% FIMA)	TBD	TBD	~(22)	~(2.3)	>(199)
AGR-5/6/7 3-1-2 (1600°C)	TBD	TBD	~5.1E-3	~4.4E-4	TBD
(1193°C TAVA, 13.8% FIMA)	TBD	TBD	~(12)	~(1.0)	TBD
AGR-5/6/7 5-2-2 (1800°C)	TBD	TBD	~3.5E-1	~4.8E-4	TBD
(789°C TAVA, 8.8% FIMA)	TBD	TBD	~(1180)	~(1.6)	TBD

Values are presented as compact fraction and particle-equivalent (in parentheses)

Estimated uncertainty in solute analysis is ±10% and less than values for ^{110m}Ag are estimated from first leach. Some analyses are in progress: TBD = data not yet available; values with preliminary efficiencies presented as approximate or greater than

1600°C Safety Test of Compact 2-2-2 (14.0% FIMA, 845°C TAVA Temperature)

- Unplanned interruption after 2.5 h @ 1600°C because of a power outage.
- No indication of TRISO failure ⁸⁵Kr below MDA of 5.6E-7 (<0.0013 particle equivalents).
- No indication of SiC failure ¹³⁴Cs release <0.019 particle equivalents.
- ^{110m}Ag release dominated by early release of matrix inventory.
- ¹⁵⁴Eu, ⁹⁰Sr, and ¹⁰⁴Pd had typical rate trends related to slow transport to cups and some diffusive release from particles.



1600°C Safety Test of Compact 2-2-4 (14.3% FIMA, 856°C TAVA Temperature)

- Two unplanned shutdowns mid-test due to controller bugs.
- No indication of TRISO failure ⁸⁵Kr below MDA of 5.5E-7 (<0.0013 particle equivalents).
- No indication of SiC failure ¹³⁴Cs release of <0.016 particle equivalents.
- ^{110m}Ag, ¹⁵⁴Eu, ⁹⁰Sr, and ¹⁰⁴Pd similar to Compact 2-2-2.



1800°C Safety Test of Compact 2-3-2 (14.4% FIMA, 874°C TAVA Temperature)

- Computer data acquisition card failure required pause in heating test.
- ⁸⁵Kr accumulation of 2.4E-4 (0.53 particle equivalents) indicative of one particles with degraded or defective TRISO.
- ¹³⁴Cs release of roughly 2-1/2 particle equivalents indicates particles with degraded or defective SiC.
- ¹⁵⁴Eu had typical rate trend with total release of ~10% or more.
- Initially low ^{110m}Ag release was probably related to a low inventory outside the SiC at the end of the safety test.



Preliminary: no efficiency correction for inventory on Ta internals

1600°C Safety Test of Compact 3-1-2 (13.8% FIMA, 1193°C TAVA Temperature)

- ⁸⁵Kr accumulation of 3.4E-5 (0.076 particle equivalents) is higher than normal but low for failed TRISO.
- ¹³⁴Cs release in the neighborhood of one particle equivalent indicates one or two particles with degraded or defective SiC.
- ¹⁵⁴Eu had typical rate trend with total release not yet determined but likely similar to Compact 2-2-2 and Compact 2-2-4 safety tests.
- ^{110m}Ag showed initial release from matrix of roughly 5 particle equivalents plus a steady release throughout test of roughly 5 more that cannot be accounted for by failed or defective particles and is usually not resolvable at 1600°C.



1600°C Safety Test of Compact 5-5-3 (7.6% FIMA, 773°C TAVA Temperature)

- Inconsequential shutdown during initial heat up due to cooling water interruption.
- No indication of TRISO failure ⁸⁵Kr below MDA of 6.6E-7 (<0.0023 particle equivalents).
- No indication of SiC failure measured ¹³⁴Cs was <0.045 particle equivalents.
- ¹⁵⁴Eu and ⁹⁰Sr had typical rate trends with low total releases consistent with low-burnup.
- ^{110m}Ag release rate increased with time after ~100 h at 1600°C due to diffusion through SiC. This is normally unresolvable during 1600°C safety tests because total ^{110m}Ag release is usually dominated by ^{110m}Ag in the matrix at the start of test.



1800°C Safety Test of Compact 5-2-2 (8.8% FIMA, 789°C TAVA Temperature)

- ⁸⁵Kr accumulation of 4.8E-4 (1.1 particle equivalents) indicative of degraded or defective TRISO.
- Initial ¹³⁴Cs release in the neighborhood of one particle equivalent indicates degraded or defective SiC, likely from a single particle given time-dependent rate. Uptick in ¹³⁴Cs at end of test may be from a second particle and likely related to the observed ⁸⁵Kr release.
- Initial ^{110m}Ag and ¹⁵⁴Eu release significantly lower than AGR-5/6/7 Compact 2-3-2 at 1800°C is consistent with lower burnup.
- Cumulative ^{110m}Ag release of 30% was mostly from diffusive release through SiC during the safety test and higher than observed in 1800°C test of Compact 2-3-2 because of higher fractional inventory retained in irradiated particles.



Preliminary: no efficiency correction for inventory on Ta internals

Capsule 2 IMGA Results—¹³⁷Cs

- No significant Cs release from as-irradiated Compact 2-2-1 particles
- No significant Cs release from 1600°C safety tested particles
- One low ¹⁴⁴Ce (SP01) and two low ¹³⁷Cs particles from 1800°C safety test of Compact 2-3-2 (queued for analysis)





Compact 2-2-3 Particle SP01 had an As-Fabricated Defect

- No significant Cs release from as-irradiated Compact 2-2-1 particles
- No significant Cs release from 1600°C safety tested particles
- One low ¹⁴⁴Ce (SP01) and two low ¹³⁷Cs particles from 1800°C safety test of Compact 2-3-2 (queued for analysis)
- Defective, dimpled coating related to a faceted kernel.

Similar structure observed in AGR-5/6/7 development batches due to kernel fissuring and fragmentation







Capsule 2 IMGA Results—^{110m}Ag

- Relatively low silver retention in as-irradiated Compact 2-2-1 particles
- Particles apparently losing silver at 1600°C
- No measurable (<5%) silver retention after 1800°C





Capsule 2 IMGA Results—¹⁵⁴Eu

- High europium retention in as-irradiated Compact 2-2-1 particles
- Some particles losing significant ¹⁵⁴Eu at 1600°C
- General reduction in ¹⁵⁴Eu retention at 1800°C





Capsule 2 IMGA Results—¹⁵⁴Eu

- High europium retention in as-irradiated Compact 2-2-1 particles
- Some particles losing significant ¹⁵⁴Eu at 1600°C
- General reduction in ¹⁵⁴Eu retention at 1800°C
- DLBL data show more ¹⁵⁴Eu in compact matrix after 1600°C safety tests of Compacts 2-2-2 and 2-2-4 compared with similar as-irradiated Compact 2-2-1, which experienced similar burnup and TAVA temperature. Same trends observed for several other nuclides indicate measurable diffusive release at 1600°C.

Compact	⁹⁰ Sr	¹⁴⁴ Ce	¹⁵⁴ Eu	²³⁹ Pu		
2.2.1 op inredicted	6.0E-3	1.3E-3	1.2E-2	2.0E-4		
2-2-1 as-irraulateu	(14)	(2.9)	(27)	(0.44)		
2 2 2 4600°C ST	5.4E-2	6.9E-3	8.1E-2	1.4E-3		
2-2-2 1000 6 31	(121)	(16)	(183)	(3.2)		
2 2 4 40000 ST	2.1E-2	9.5E-3	3.2E-2	2.7E-3		
2-2-4 1600 6 51	(48)	(22)	(72)	(6.1)		
DLBL totals presented as compact fraction and particle-equivalents						



ADVANCED REACTOR TECHNOLOGIES

Buffer Shrinkage, Fracture, and Detachment from IPyC

• The low-density buffer layer undergoes significant densification and shrinkage during irradiation that can cause fracture of the layer and detachment from the inner pyrolytic carbon (IPyC) layer.



- Table 23. AGR-2 UCO compacts with quantified buffer fracture frequency used to

Composta	Temperatu	ure (°C) ^b	Burnup [℃]	Fast Fluence ^c ←	Buffer Fracture	
Compact	TAVA¤	TA _{max} ⊠	(%∙FIMA)¤	(10 ²⁵ n/m ²)		
•2-1-3¤	1194¤	1305¤	10.95¤	2.88¤	37 of 198 (18.7%)	
■2-2-1	1287¤	1353¤	12.47¤	3.35¤	0 of 44 (0.0%)¤	
■2-2-3¤	1261¤	1335 <mark>¤</mark>	10.8¤	3.00	1 of 74 (1.4%)¤	
2-3-1 (1600°C)¤	1296¤	1360	12.63¤	3.42¤	0 of 54 (0.0%)¤	
2-3-2 (1800°C)¤	1296¤	1360	12.62¤	3.46¤	1 of 37 (2.7%)¤	
■2-4-3¤	1216	1324 <mark>¤</mark>	11.52	3.08¤	3 of 159 (1.9%)¤	
∙ 5-1-3¤	1078¤	1177 <mark>¤</mark>	11.09¤	3.03¤	70 of 181 (38.7%)	
■ 5-2-3¤	1108	1184¤	10.4¤	3.00	76 of 88 (86.4%)¤	
∙ 5-3-3¤	1093¤	1172 <mark>¤</mark>	10.1¤	2.90¤	37 of 43 (86.0%)¤	
∙ 5-4-2¤	1071¤	1168 <mark>¤</mark>	12.03¤	3.14¤	27 of 35 (77.1%)¤	
∙ 6-2-3¤	1095¤	1157 <mark>¤</mark>	8.22¤	2.30	7 of 44 (15.9%)¤	
■6-3-3¤	1060	1134¤	7.50¤	2.10	0 of 44 (0.0%)¤	
•6-4-2 (1600°C)¤	1018	1106¤	9.26¤	2.21¤	13 of 48 (27.1%)¤	

from AGR-2 Final Report INL/EXT-21-64279

As-Irradiated Compact 2-2-1 Random Sample





As-Irradiated Compact 2-2-1 Random Sample

40 out of 76 with Buffer fracture



5 out of 76 with IPyC fracture







1600°C Safety-Tested Compact 2-2-2 Random Sample



21 out of 33 with Buffer fracture

1 IPyC fracture



1600°C Safety-Tested Compact 2-2-4 Random XCT Sample



Randomly-selected particle from Compact 2-2-4

Arrowhead fracture from buffer to IPyC with some separation at IPyC/SiC interface

Summary of First Five Quarters of AGR-5/6/7 PIE at ORNL

- AGR-5/6/7 PIE at ORNL has been in progress since April 2022.
 - Three as-irradiated compacts are in various stages of destructive PIE.
 - Seven compacts have been safety-tested and are in various stages of post-safety test destructive PIE.
- Similarities and some differences compared with AGR-1 and AGR-2 UCO compact safety testing and PIE have already been noted and will be studied further.
 - We will soon have more results from the later steps in the destructive PIE to help evaluate results from safety testing and DLBL.
 - We also need to build a broader database by examining more AGR-5/6/7 compacts to get sufficient statistics to verify trends in behavior before drawing any broad conclusions.



Thank you for your attention

John Hunn

hunnjd@ornl.gov

This work was sponsored by US Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy Advanced Reactors Technologies

Advanced Gas Reactor Fuel Development and Qualification Program

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy

